G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1909.

(Mysore)

File No. 354

Serial Nos. 1—10

Later references.

GP dt 10.11.19(1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—10

Part B for March 1915

SUBJECT.

Manual marriage to Jeer Vigraha at T. Narasipur

---

1) From The Dy Commr Mysore Dt 275 dy 23-12-09
Solicits orders in the matter of Marriage to be shown to Jeer.

2) " Memorial of Vadapalai of T. Narasipur

3) " —do—

4) To The Dy Commr Mysore Dt No 2196 dy 2.3
Calls for a report [illegible]

GPB—3,500—21-7-14

G.R.S. 11] 1907.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Nuzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 354/09

FILL HEADING.

Re Mamul Manyades to the Jeeyar Mutt at T. Narsipur.

From

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist

No. 275 Dated 23-12-09 Received

Diary No. 1214 | Enclos 1 & 2 Spare Copies – | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl 32 From, the Private Secretary to H H the Maharaja.

Memo no 727 (1826) Dt 10-1-1910

Forwards for disposal, memorial addressed to H H the Maharaja by Upadhya Narasimhachar and other Vadagale residents of T. Narsipur Complaining

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07

Serial No.	
	That in connection with the disputes between them and the Tengalais re the utsava of Jeeyar Vigraha they have been placed under suspension and praying that they may restored in office
3	From, Narasimhachar and others, Petition Dt 4.1.10 Pray for the cancellation of the suspension order
* Flag 3)	1) In G.O no 186-7 Dt 10th November 1908, the request of the Tengalai petrs to carry the Jeeyar Vigraha only in procession in the public streets of Tirunarapur — according to manual on Tirunakshtra and Sevanthika days, was sanctioned
* Flag 4)	2) Mr. R Rama Rau, the then Amildar of the Tirunarapur Tq examined the contending parties and the documents produced, and in his Kannadese memo Dt 19th October 1909, recommended that "Shatagopa" mariyadi might be shown to Jeeyar Vigraha from the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswamy temple and that under the authority of the Sanad of 1830, Chattri, Chamara and other mariyadas might be shown during the utsavam of the Jeeyar Vigraha-

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

3) Upadhya Narasimhachar and two others Vadagale Srivaishnava Brahmins of T. narasipur complained to the Dy Commr that the Amildar ordered some Hetekkatte mariyades being shown to Jeeyar Vigraha from Gurja Narasimhaswamy temple, that they protested that this was against mamul, that the Amildar thereupon took possession of the temple keys and with the aid of Sungalais got these honors shown and that they were consequently placed under suspension.

*Flag X

*Flag W

4) In his Report no 62/320 Dt 19-11-09, the Amildar states that it is altogether false that he took the keys from the petrs and that the 2d petr Srinivasa Deekshith, an acting Paricharaka, having declined to obey orders issued by him as Amildar, the latter suspended him in the interests of discipline and reported the matter to the Sub Divl Officer.

(Paper under disposal)

5) The Dy. Commr solicits orders as to what mamul mariyades may be shown to the Jeeyar.

Submitted for orders

14.2.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

Serial No.

Under-Secretary's remarks -

× Flag B) 6) In their order of November 1908 Govt said that as it was manul to take the Jeeyer ~~Jeer~~ in procession the same might be done There was no question of the Mariyadis to be shown to the ~~jeer~~ jeeyer in connection with this procession

× Flag A) 7) Subsequent to the issue of this order the Tengalais on 16 12 1908 applied to the Amildar for Arulappadu to the jeeyer in the Temple during Kodaroosava. They claimed this right in accordance with a Sannad of 1829-30 of His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III and orders of 1872 and 1877

× Flag B) 8) The Vadagalais opposed this (Vide Upadhya Narasimhachar's deposition before Amildar on 1-1-09) on the ground that this was contrary to manul, that the orders of 1872 and 1877 had been set aside,

Flag C) and that the Govt order of 5th March 1906 directed that jeeyer should not be taken into the Narasimha Swamy Temple.

9) This question was not settled.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

10) On 12th october 1909 the Vadagalés Cauplained that the Shatagopa had been taken from the Sri Gunga Narasimhaswamy temple, to the gér temple Their objections to this are -

(1) that it is Contrary to mamul.

(2) that it is opposed to the Shastra, as the gér Temple and Gunga Narasimhaswamy Temple are of different Agamas

11) The Amildar held an enquiry.

On 16th October 1909 the Tengalais presented a petition specifying their claims on behalf of the gér These are. —

(1) that there should be Thosaka for 5 or ~~Ten~~ 10 days just before the annual Tirunakshatram For Todaka, Shatagopa is taken from the temple with manyadé, Mangalarati is performed the gér and Adhyapakas are honored and after Arulappadu Prabandhas are recited and then Teirtha distribution follow.

(2) - For monthly Tirunakshatram the Todaka is only for a day

(Pag D)

(Pag E)

GPB—50,000—27.10.09

Serial No.

(3) — on Tirunakshatram day, in the morning the geer is washed, Todaka is held, geer idol is brought to Gunja Narasimhaswamy Temple and honored in accordance with the Sanad of 1st January 1830 the procession is taken in the streets and the geer idol brought to geer Temple then the utsava idol of Sri Gunjanarasimhaswamy is brought to ~~Geer~~ Jeeyer Temple where after Mantapotsava Satthumare is held and tirtha distributed.

[The Tengalas urge again their claim for yédura utsava which has been specifically rejected by Govt in their order of 5th March 1906]

(4) — on the night of Tirunakshatra day the Narasimhaswamy idol is paraded on silver ~~Hanuma~~ Garuda and brought to geer Temple where mantapa utsava, upachara, Satthumare and Vine yoga follow.

(5) — on the next day the geer has Ganda-pudi Seeartha utsava.

(6) — during Kotarotsava the geer is brought to the Temple every day and honored in accordance with the Sanad of 1st January 1830.

GPB—55,031—27-4-09

Serial No.

12) The honors described above were shown to the geer idol when it was in existence, and when it was lost they continued to be shown to Ramanuja-acharya idol. The Vengalais wanted these honors to be shown again to the geer idol.

13) In the course of the enquiry the Vadagalais ie Upadhya Varadachariar admitted (x Flag H) that the Tirunakshatram utsavam was being held in Ramanujachar's Sannidhi, but objected to the honors being revived in favor of the geer.

14) The Vadagalars of adjoining Villages also presented a petition (x Flag K) requesting that the Mamul at Melkote where the geer temple was separate might be ascertained.

15) The Amildar passed an order (x Flag Y) in these terms (memo dt 19-10-07):—

The enquiry shows that on geer Tirunakshatram day Seva was being conducted with (1) Aradhanai (2) Bhaja Bhajantri (3) Veda Parayana (4) Parivattar (5) Mala (6) Abhisheka (7) Upachara and (8) Mangalarati

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

Serial No.

and that in the night the Gunja Narasimhaswamy idol would be carried on silver Garuda. It is plain that the utsava was being held in Ramanujachar's Sannidhi on behalf of the geer and that it is nothing but fair that it should now go on in the geer's Sannidhi itself. No difference in Agama between the Gunja Narasimhaswamy Temple and geer temple has been made out. The taking of Shatagopa to the geer's temple does not appear to be improper. As the Govt order explicitly prohibits the taking of Gunja Narasimhaswamy to the geer Temple there can be no Mantapotsava there. The Vadagalai object to geer idol being brought to Narasimhaswamy Temple and the Tengalais say they will have this settled later on. No orders about it is now called for. Govt have authorized the procession of geer. To conduct this, chatri, chamara, torches etc. should be given as in the case of processions of Desikar, as in the Ramp of 1830,

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

it is explicitly said that both Kalés should be treated equally.

16) The order of the Amildar not being obeyed by one of the servants the latter was in the interests of discipline suspended. The order also not being acceptable to the Vadagalés they appealed to the Dy. Commr who has submitted the records to Govt and asked for explicit orders as to what manul mariyades may be shown to the peer.

17) The Vadagalés have memorialized H H. the Maharaja and the Dewan also the Dewan has noted thus on the petition — To Mungnai Supdt to report in the papers if any

18) As I have stated at the commencement Govt in passing the order of November 1908 did not concern themselves with any mariyade. That question has now cropped up. It is for the Dy. Commr to hold such further enquiry as he thinks

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

necessary and record a finding about the matter before asking Govt to settle the matter.

19) As stated by the Vadagalai of Sosale and other places it would be useful if we could have an unvarnished account of the manu at Melkote. I may also say that the Melkote Tengalais claim honors for Pulalokacharya during Kotarotsava in accordance with a Sanad which perhaps is the same as the one relied upon by the T. narasipur Tengalais. That matter has to be disposed of.

File No - 80-02 (Mmz 1909)

20) Submitted to the Dewan Councillor with a draft 39 — Attn-in-V 13/2/1910

2936.

21) Agreed [illegible] 28/2/10 Copy 1/3/1910

4 To, The Dy. Commr., Mysore Distt
Letter no 2196 dt 2-3-1910
Directs that the question of marriage to the year having now cropped up,

GPS—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

a recommendation may be made to Govt in the matter after holding needful enquiry and requests that the manual at melkote may also be ascertained.

5. From, the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist.
Letter no. 136 dy 28.9.1911
2.10.1911

Submits report regarding the honors to be shown to jeer Vignesa.

2) The Dy. Commr submits the records of enquiry together with the memo prepared by Mr Ramanathan, Asst Commr, to whom the case was refd. for enquiry-

(a)

3) As regards the manual marigades to jeer prior to 1898, Mr Ramanathan says that it is admitted by the Vadagalais that jeer's Tirunakshtra was ~~ob~~ celebrated annually in - Ramanujachari's Sannidhi, and it is quite probable that ~~Rosha~~ arulapadu was a manual marigade-

4) As regards the manual in Melkote and in the Temples in the Mysore Fort, it is admitted to be that of equality between both Seats and jeer has equal marigas with the Desikar in these Temples

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 354 of 1909

12

Serial No.

In Mandya it is said that only Jeer and other Vengala Acharyas have marigades and not Desikar, as the Temple is a purely Vengalai one -

25) Mr Ramanathan is of opinion that the Jeer's shrine at T. Narasipur cannot be considered as subsidiary to the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswamy Temple at the place and is therefore not entitle to any marigadas from the Sri Narasimhaswamy Temple by getting Rs 1-7-3 as Dasoik and the Dy. Commr Concurs in his Opinion.

Submitted for orders.

AG (132)
7.10.1911

Note continued:- 26 The claim of the Vengalais on behalf of the Jeer has been mentioned in detail on pages 5 and 6 of this note. Of these the taking of Gunja Narasimhaswami Utsava idol to the Jeer temple has been disallowed by Government (Vide Order No. 5742 dated 5th March 1906). It is not necessary to decide now about aralappadu during Kotarotsava. We may - confine ourselves to Jeer's annual Tirunakshatram.

27) The claims of the Tengalais for this - occasion appear to resolve themselves into two:-

(1) Getting Satagopa, Chatri etc., Maryades

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

from the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple and (2) taking Jeer idol to Gunja Narasimhaswami temple.

28) The settlement of this claim appears to depend upon the answers to the following questions:-

(i) What is the Mamool as regards mariyades on Jeer Tirunakshatra days ?

(ii) Was there ever a Jeer idol in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple ?

(iii) Is the temple of the Jeer, newly built, - subsidiary to the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple ?

(iv)(a) Do the Agamas of the two temples differ?

(b) If so, is it opposed to the Shastras to send mariyades from the latter to the former ?

(v) What mariyades are allowed to in other - places on account of Jeer's Tirunakshtram ?

(vi) Is there any harm in allowing the mariyades i.e., Shatari, Chatri, Chamara, torches etc.; - referred to in the Amildar's Order of 19th October 1909 ?

29) As regards the first question it must be remembered that there has been no Jeer idol in the - Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple for the last 80 years or so. On Jeer Tirunakshtram day the ceremonies were being conducted in Ramanuja Char Sannidhi (which is within the temple premises) whether the utsava idol of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami would be taken with - Shatari.

30) What was being done in Ramanujachar's Sannidhi on Jeer Tirunakshatram day cannot be looked upon

NOTE — Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 357 of 1909 14

Serial No.

as Mamool for Jeer Tirunakshatram in Jeer's new temple.

30) Further it has also to be remembered that the taking of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Utsava idol to the Jeer temple has been prohibited.

32) There is no body who can speak about what was being done when there was a Jeer idol (assuming for the present that there was this idol) in the temple about 70 or 80 years ago. Thus what the mamool was cannot be specified.

33) If, as shewn above, there can be no evidence about mamool, it becomes necessary to consider how Amildar Mr. Kesviah (~~upon whose report the Government Order of 1908 permitting Jeer's utsava was passed~~ Stet) could report × that there was mamool as regards the utsavam of Jeer.

(Memo 8/12/07)

34) This report, it will be seen, is animadverted upon × by Mr. Ramanathan.

35) From a perusal of this report I find that the Mamool refers to observance of Jeer's Tirunakshatram and to the conduct of ceremonies in Ramanujachar's Sannidhi. The Utsavas of Jeer in 1899 and 1900 after consecration in 1898 and before prohibition by Government cannot be of much value.

36) The next question can also be conveniently considered here. Mr. Ramanathan is of opinion that there is no evidence to show that there ever was a Jeer idol in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple and the Deputy Commissioner concurs in this view. I had a faint recollection that the construction of a Jeer

Note – Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—12 S 10

Serial No.

temple was permitted because it was established to the satisfaction of Government that there once was a Jeer idol in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple. On going over the notes in the previous files, I find it difficult to say that Government have held that there was once a Jeer idol in the Gunja Narasimhaswami temple. If this matter is regarded to be unsettled still, I must say that the views of the local officers are correct.

37) If it is found that there was no Jeer idol in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple it follows that the new Jeer temple cannot be subsidiary to the former temple.

38) As regards the 4th question the local officers are of opinion that there can be difference of Agama. This view appears to be correct.

39) Thus the objection that no Mariyades can be sent as there is difference of Agama, fails.

40) There is evidence to shew that in Melkote where the Jeer's temple is separate, some Maryades, i.e practically all those referred to in the Amildar's order, are sent; but it is difficult to say about sending Shatari by itself, as in Melkote, the utsava idol also goes to the Jeer temple.

41) Coming now to the last point it will be seen that practically the position now is this:-

42) The Tengalais have newly built a Jeer temple and they request that on the Tirunakshatrai day Shatari with Chatri, Chamara etc., may be sent to Jeer

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

temple from the Gunja Narasimhaswami temple. The Vadagalais, as usual, raise frivolous objections. Would Government ordinarily grant the prayers of the Tengalais or not ? In the present case how should the fact, that from the commencement the Tengalais - have not behaved properly, affect the decision ?

43) Ordinarily I submit all the Marjadês except, perhaps, Shatari might be sent.

44) In the circumstances of the present case, taking into consideration the orders of the Dewan in 1905 and of His Highness the Maharaja in 1906, perhaps all innovation has to be avoided.

45). The taking of the Jeer idol to the Sri - Gunja Narasimhaswami temple will give rise to complications and may cause a breach of the peace. This has to be prohibited just as the taking of the Gunja Narasimhaswami idol to the Jeer Mutt has been disallowed.

46) The Nirunakshatram, this year, comes off on the 27th instant.

Vide Petn Rego C/o 5895/1010 (from Sriman Iyengar R Srin Vasiengar) 47) A Tengalai Brahmin in his petition to the Councillor prays to be heard in [illegible] person

Submitted to Secretariat in the first instance

Bangalore October 1911

19.X.1911

48 I do not consider that such frivolous objections as the Vadagai

NOTE– Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000— 12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 394 of 1909

Serial No

[illegible] raise even to small Concessions should be Countenanced. It is not very important whether the New temple of the Jear be or be not regarded as a part of the (Major) temples of Narasimha Swamie; but I think that both probabilities and the past treatment go to show that it is such a temple. Unless I am greatly mistaken such temples are [illegible] in-variably raised [illegible] Vigrahas, [illegible] part of a temple [illegible]. Whatever that may be, Government may [illegible] the honours, except to [illegible] may be sent [illegible] the Inam [illegible] Temples. No [illegible] need [illegible]

Note Contd sheet

G. R. S. [2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 354 of 1909

Serial No

given as this would infallibly lead to ~~sefus~~ renewed petitions ~~in~~ reversing them and asking for a revision of the orders. In fact, having transferred the basalt to this new shrine, and started the particular service for the Ramanuja image, Govt has in a sense admitted the jurisdiction of this new shrine with the fees invoked at Ra- for this shrine.

(29) The other question as to Tengalai being able to use the pial.

Bombay - Rt/12/11

30) Submitted to Hon'ble Member

31/1

I generally agree with the Umesha

Read 2/1/12 VII Hon 3/1/12

14660 31/1/12

Note.—Contd. sheet

GPB—5000—26-6-11

G. R S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 354 of 1909.

Serial No.

Remarks of the Committee -

52) Common sense would prohibit heat treatment of the Jeers & Vizaha in the same manner as that of the Jeer himself were present - It is my natural that the Jeer should visit the Sovereign periodically & pay his homage & worship - It is my natural that the meniya- days from the Temple should be sent to him - These many other things formidable in Enumeration are very admirable ones - Taking these enumerated at Sl No 52 page 12th in Volume I Resolution the Jatakas Panchayat being taken out even on occasion is of no Political & high importance of State! & so on -

53) I would allow all the many Tales named - But I - The Vadagales agree? I. e. is the Mb - I don't think we should use force to make them agree - Perhaps some friendly advice and a pan- chayat

NOTE— Contn sheet

GPL—1000 2648

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

5-89 20

File No. 354 of 1909

Serial No.	
	Chayat may be tried —
	The Manager
54)	Please put up a draft letter to Dr Court to the effect directed in the concluding sentence of the Dewan's order — 14/5/12
55	Draft letter put up.
	Rly 16.5.12 (79) 1st Councillor) 16/5/12
56)	Draft appd by 1st Councillor 18 5 12
57)	Issue 20/5/12
6	2 The Sycamore Inspector's No 2926 dy 29.5.1912 Enquiring whether it would be possible to induce the Vadagalai to agree to the proposed inam grant being shown to the year
	Petitions (D. 503 & 552 to the Chief and the Dewan) from Singlachar and others of T. Narasipur praying that early

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

787

File No. 354 of 1909

Serial No.	
	early orders may be passed in the case before Jeer Tirumakshira which comes off on the 12th Novr next
58)	Demands order:— "To office for r and a Dft. order"
59)	Submitted with a Dft forwarding the petitions for early report.
	4/10/12
	Issued 16/10/12
	Another petition (D 787) from N.R Srinivasiengar and other Tengale residents of T. Narasipur—
60)	The petrs say that the Amildar convened a meeting of some of the Tengalais and Vadagalais, and instituted enquiry about the Mariyades to the Jeer, and pray that on a perusal of the records of 1830 and 1844, the Manual Mariyades may be shown to the Jeer—
61)	The Dy. Commrs. report has not been received.
	Submitted with a Remr.
	23-11-12
	61. Issued 25.11.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

1756 22

(R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 354 of 1909

Serial No.	
7.	From, the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist Letter no. 464 Dy 11-4-1913. States that the Vern. records re geer mariyade at T. Narasipur were not received under cover of Sl. 6, and requests the return of the records.
(3)	Submtd. with a Dft. docket returning the records.
	AV(132) 1.5.1913
	by Asst Dep 20.5.13
8	To the Dy Commr Mysore No 2212 dy 23.5.1913 Returns the Vernacular records as requested above, for further action (vide Sl 6).

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

23

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 354 of 1910

Serial No.	
9	From, The Dy Commr, Mysore Distt Letter no. 155 Dy 8th October 1913. Submits further report on the Question of showing the mariyade to the jeeyar at T. narasipur.
Jg 27	65) The Amildar reports that as directed in Serial 6, he convened a meeting of the principal Brahmins of the place in Sri Gunjanarasimha Swamy Temple on 18th September last to bring about a reconciliation between the Tengalais and Vadagalais of the place. It seems that in addition to both the sections of Sri Vaishnava Community, Pandits and leading men among Smarthas and Madhwas also attended the meeting. 66) After a long discussion, Upadhya Narasimhachar and other Vadagalai Srivaishnavas agreed to Sri Shadagopa Upasharas Samagris such as chatri, Chamara, etc Nivedya and articles required for Utsava being taken to jeeyar temple from Sri Gunja Narasimha Swamy temple, and also attend the jeeyar temple on the Tirunakshatra Day and do their respective services, on two conditions:— (i) that no nivedya is taken every day,

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

Serial No.

to the Jeeyar temple from Sri Ranga Narasimha Swamy temple, and

(2) that Jeeyar Mangalasasana should not be recited at the Sannidhi of Sri Ranga Narasimha Swamy

67) As regards Condition No (i) – the Amildar suggested that, there being no Tasdik for Naivedya in Jeeyar temple, a Pudiseah deposit may be made by Tengalais, the interest thereon being utilized for the preparation of Naivedya at Ranga Narasimha Swamy for being taken to Jeeyar temple – Both parties agreed to it, but subsequently the Tengalais retracted their consent.

4 | 68) It is also reported that there is no separate daily Tasdik for any of the Suttugudi Devaru (or minor deities surrounding Sri Ranga Narasimha Swamy) as to Sri Ranganatha Swamy, and that daily Naivedya after being offered to Sri Narasimha Swamy is divided and offered to minor deities and to Alwars and Acharyas.

69) As regards Condition No (ii) – it is reported that the Vadagalais recite the Mangalasasana of Vedanta Desikar, and that the Pandits of other sects consider the Condition as being unreasonable –

70) The Dy Commissioner reports that on a

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 -13

749

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 352 of 1909.

Serial No.

perusal of the records, he finds that the then Amildar Mr. R. Rama Roo's memo of 1909 clearly mentions the manual mariyades to the jeeyar (The gist of this memo is contained in pages 4 to 9 of the Office Note) He is of opinion that a definite set of instructions may be framed in the light of the said memo and the long pending dispute about mariyade to jeeyar brought to a close. He adds that his views are contained in the Secretariat Notes.

Submitted for orders

AV (115) 20.12.1913. [illegible]

35 71) Subd to 1'? member

72) Regret the delay in submitting this file for orders. Wished to peruse carefully the whole of the facts of this complicated case and this I have not been able to undertake earlier owing to pressure of other work.

73 Under the instructions of the late Govt the D.I. Commissioner was requested to bring about an understanding between the two sects [illegible]

* and if possible to settle the question on the spot.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB- 60 000—7-11 1

G R 5 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 354 of 1909

Serial No

by the local amildar, assisted by the leading men of the communities but the arrangement fell through.

76) The question will have therefore to be decided on merits. The opinion often mentioned is recorded at page 16 of the notes to the effect that all honours (except the Sal-hari) may be sent to the shrine on the Trimakshetra day. The Kalai Devan appears to have been inclined towards the grant of the Mariyadai honours, but the Vadagalais viewed it as aggrieved a friendly understanding was suggested

75) It is very unlikely that the 'Kalais' would agree in a matter of this kind. I quite sympathise with the feelings of the Tengalais to see their Acharya honoured and the Mariyadai now proposed to be granted are no more than what may be allowed even out of mere courtesy. Looking however at the history of the institution, I am humbly of opinion that this Sabha is

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 394 of 1919

Serial No.

will not cease with the disposal of the present question and further privileges are bound to be agitated for on the one side and opposed with equal vigour on the other side.

6) It is a matter for consideration whether the present opportunity should not be availed of to indicate the recent and independent origin of the shine and that the Marquisate have been extended as a matter of courtesy. This may help to allay any feeling of resentment on the part of Rajpalais which it may also discourage the Rajpalais from agitating for more privileges

Sir

7) Will you please draft an order in accordance with my view concurred in by the late Dewan? ~~Does~~ See if it meets all the points raised & bring up the file personally to me same day next week

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

23/7/14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 354 of 1919

Serial No.

Subd to 1st memo

78)

79) A draft is submitted for approval or other orders. The order proposed to deal with the honours (the Seal for purposed purposes on Thursdays here and also on Saturday days – though the latter is not specially referred to from Sir ... consent of the member.

80) From the papers in the file it is seen that there are also other questions which may have (the decided upon at some time or other viz the right to take the fees by make to the temple as per in charge on Kotaswara, the honours (the name to the right make at the time and other remains the right to archaeology as in the case of [redacted] subsidiary shrines, the fees merged Sarana and archupparda and the Tengale forms of worship during Kotaswara etc. These questions will have (the decided as they arise and after proper investigation.

Sd

8 14

81) Subd to 1st memo

82) As in the draft

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 354 of 1909

Serial No.

a revised draft. and a draft of Submission note are herewith submitted for approval or other orders

Sd
13. 8. 14.

83) Final note and draft approved by First member.
MSS 15 8 14 Sent 718

917
17.8.14

84 Submit to Secretary
Sd
17 8. 14

85) Before submitting the papers to His Highness, the case may be circulated to the Members of Council for favour of their views also, and in the event of a difference of opinion, it may be discussed in Council.

C.S. on Sent 611 5-1-15. Sd

86 Submit to Extraordinary member
Sd
6-1-15

As first member's Recommendation - Seems all right.
DKM

I see no reason to disagree 16.1.15. Sent 19/1
Sd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

19/1

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 354 of 1909.

Serial No.

Submitted to Dewan members

for

1 : 1 : 15

(vide our opinion on page 27)

Resubmitted to Dewan. as there is no difference of opinion among the members

Dewan)

Dewan Bahadur
1911

3943
21-1-15

10 Z.

The Queen of Mysore, no. 1915 dt 3.3.15 — grants the request of Venkatesa to carry the Jeer vijnika in procession in the public streets of T. Narasipoor according to mamool on "Theru nakshatra & Swarathotsava Devarsha" days & adds as a matter of courtesy that Chattra Chamara & Torche may be sent from the temple of Sri Prasanna Narasimhaswamy at T. N. poor on these occasions.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

31

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:— Mamul Mariades to the Jeer Vigraha at T.Narsipur.

The accompanying papers relate to the grant of certain honours from Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami's Temple at T. Narasipur to the new Jeer Vigraha installed at the place.

2. In Government Proceedings No. 186-7/M.F. 112-08-2, dated 10th November 1908, permission was granted to carry the Jeer Vigraha only in procession in the public streets of T. Narasipur according to Mamool on Tirunakshatra and Sevartha days. As no definite instructions were issued regarding what the Mamul contemplated was, the Tengalai Srivaishnavas have been urging for the grant of the Mariyadas which were used to be shown in the presence of the idol of Ramanujacharya on the Jeer Tirunakshatra day and to this the Vadagalai sect take objection.

3. The Deputy Commissioner, Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, who was requested to enquire into the rights of the parties, is of opinion that the Jeer temple at T. Narasipur not being -

Flag M1

32

being subsidiary to Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami's temple cannot be said to be entitled to any Mariyadas from the latter.

4. I am of opinion that it is not very important whether the new temple of the Jeer be or be not regarded as a part of the major temple of Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami and that Government may order that all the honours except the Sri Shatari be sent to the Jeer Shrine on Tirunakshatra days from - Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami temple.

5. Mr. T. Ananda Rao agreed with me but suggested that some friendly advice and a Panchayat may be tried to make the Vadagalais agree.

6. The Deputy Commissioner was accordingly requested to bring about an understanding and an attempt was made in - this direction by the local Amildar assisted by the leading men of the other communities. No satisfactory understanding could be arrived at and the records have been resubmitted by the Deputy Commissioner for the orders of Government.

7. As the matter has been before His Highness more - than once, the papers may be submitted for His Highness' orders. The Jeer temple having been constructed with the permission of Government and to a certain extent recognised by the transfer to it of the Tirunakshatra tasdik, it seems to

be

33

be correct to allow the use of temple paraphernalia on processional occasions. A draft order to that effect is put up.

H. Manjunadayya

15/8/14

First Member of Council.

34

Submitted to His Highness.

S

I submit herewith the Final Note by the First Member of Council in regard to the grant of certain honours from the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami temple at T.Narsipur to the new Jeer Vigraha installed at the place. For the reasons explained in the Note, the First Member considers it correct to allow the use of temple paraphernalia on processional occasions at the Jeer's temple.

2. The Extraordinary Member and the Second Member are also agreeable to the recommendation of the First Member.

3. The draft order put up in accordance with the First Member's recommendation may be approved.

2nd Feb 1915.

35

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Grant of certain honors from the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at T. Narsipur to the new Jeer Vigraha installed at the place.

793

Order.

~~KRW~~

23/2/15

Secretary

17-2-15

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 191

36

Serial No.	
	Submitted with the Govt. Order
	8.3.15
	11/3/15
	Addl. Secy
	Rec'd
	9.3.15
10	To the Dy Col. Regd. dt. 1915 & 3.3.15 Granting certain honors to the Deeri Vigraha from the Sri Ganja Narasimhaswami Temple at T. Narasipur in Tirumakudal Taluk
11	No. 2064-5 & 23-3-15 TO V. Rama Varadayya Pantulu Temple Archaka Srivaishnava & Varadacharlu the Vadagalai Srivaishnava - Informing them of the orders issued in G.O. No. 10 above.

B

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

D 12/479:04

1909

From Decee 354-09 Esq BA
M. Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq BA
Secy: to Govt: Munj: Bra

Dated 23rd December 09

Despatched

Received

General No. 275

No.

Enclosure No. 16 in

Solicits orders in the matter of the marigolds to be shown to the Jeer at Durbar

Refer
AG
27/12/09
AG

No. 275
Enc. No. File

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 23rd Decr 1909.

To
C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to Govt Progs: No. 1867 Muz 112-08-2 dated 10th November 1908 granting the request of the Thengale Sri Vaishnavas of T. Narsipur to carry the Jeer Vigraha only in procession in the public streets of T. Narsipur according to transmt on Jeer's nakshatra and Sevarthadaya, I have the honor to state that no orders have been received as to what the transmt is - consequently the Thengale Sri Vaishnavas have been urging for the transmt mariyadas which were used to be shewn on the Jeer Tirunakshatra &c in the presence of the of Ramanuja charya while at last take objection also being shewn

2

shewn.

It is therefore requested that explicit orders may be issued as to what manual mari-yadas may be shewn to the Jeer.

The file of records bearing on the subject received with the Amildar's report No. $\frac{62}{320}$ dated 19.11.09 is herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

S. Ramachandra Rao
Deputy Tahsildar
Mysore District

B.A

No 1826
2

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 10th January 1910

Refers
12/1/10
Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, and

transferred to the Secretary to the Governn ent of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, for

disposal.

No. 727+728 Jog-10

By Order,

I.C.S.
Private Secretary
to H H the Maharaja of Mysore.

4

7 7

MS
6.1.10

page 1

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಎಸ್/ಪ್ರಾ.ಶಿ.ಇ/೨೦೨೩-೨೪/೦೩೭೪೨

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಎಸ್/ಪ್ರಾ.ಶಿ.ಇ/೨೦೨೩-೨೪/೦೩೭೪೨

ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ನೀಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳು ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕತ್ವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಗಳು ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

8

ಎಂಟಾಣೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಫೀನು ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಇಧೆ.

No.

28 $\frac{3}{06}$

ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿ|| ಡಿ|| ಕ|| ಸಾ|| ರವರ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅ|| ನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿರುಮಕೂಡ್ಲು ನರಶೀಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಂಗಲೆ ಯವರು ಗೈರುಮಾನುಲಾಗಿ ಕಲಹಾಸ್ಪದವಾವ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತಿನಿಂದಲೂ ನಡಿ ಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀದೇಶಿಕರವರ ಉತ್ಸವವಗೈರೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರೊಸಿ ಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀ || ಶ್ರೀ || ಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರ ಪರಕಾಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಅಧ್ಯಾಪಕ ವರದಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಬರಮಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 26—3—06 ನೇ ಮಕ್ಕುಂ 421 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಪಿ ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಯಾರ್ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು.

Proceedings of the Government of

H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai.

READ:—

The petitions from Upadhya Narasimhachar and others of the Vadagale sect and Yezurveda Singlachar and others of Tengalai sect, of the Sreevaishnava Brahmins at T.-Narsipur, regarding Jeer's and Desikar's Utsavams at T.-Narsipur.

And the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on the subject.

ORDER THEREON No. 3742.

Dated 5th March 1906, Bangalore.

The facts of the case are as described below.

2. First, as regards the jeer of the Tengalai sect. In 1895, Adhyapaka Srinivasa Aiyangar and a few other Tengalai Sreevaishnava Brahmins of T.-Narsipur submitted a petition to Government stating that the Jeeyar idol consecrated in the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami Temple was lost some years ago, and soliciting permission to consecrate a new idol in the temple. On enquiry, it was found that the old Jeeyar Vigraha was lost about 50 years prior to 1877, that the question of consecrating a new Vigraha come up in 1860, 1861 and 1876 before the Chief Commissioner and the District Muzrai Officer respectively and that they all declined to grant the permission asked for, on the ground that the Vadagalai Sree Vaishnavas of the town objected to the consecration of a new Jeeyar idol in the temple. Thereupon, the late Muzrai Secretary also declined in 1898 to grant permission for the consecration of a new idol *within* the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, but at the same time observed that if the Tengalai petitioners desired, they might have a new Jeeryar idol consecrated at their own cost in an unobjectionable site *outside* the temple premises. On receipt of this order, the Tengalais constructed in great haste a building for the purpose attaching it to one of the sides of the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple situated outside but near the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple and complet[illegible] the consecration of the Jeeyar idol therein. Before the Pratishta ceremony came off, petitions were received by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore from some of the Vadagalai Sreevaishnava Brahmins complaining that the Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar who belonged to the Tengalai sect had sided with the members of this sect and had, unauthorizedly granted permission to construct the new building so as to adjoin the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple in an objectionable

manner and praying for prohibitory orders. These petitions were referred by the Deputy Commissioner to the Amildar for report, but the latter took no notice of them and allowed the Tengalai Brahmins to complete the consecration ceremonies which they commenced on the 25th and completed on the 28th November 1898. Upon the submission of the records however, by the Amildar, the temple was personally inspected by the Assistant Commissioners Messrs. M. Nanjaraj Urs, and A. Ananda Rao and by the Deputy Commissioner, Rai Bahadur Mr. C. Madiah, and the last named officer issued an order to the Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar severely censuring him for his hasty and unauthorized action in this matter and directing that the Jeeyar Temple should be separated from the Sri Janardhana Swami Temple by allowing a passage between the two temples so as to allow devotees to go in Pradakshina around the latter. On appeal to Government by the Tengalais, the late Muzrai Secretary passed an order on the 19th March 1901 observing that the question of leaving a passage between the two temples as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner, would be considered later on but directing however, the annual payment to the new Jeeyar Temple of Rs. 1-7-3 being the Tasdik allotment fixed in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple for the Jeeyar's Tirunakshatram. Against this order of the late Muzrai Secretary the present appeal to Government has been preferred by the Vadagales.

3. The Government observe that when due sanction had been given by the late Muzrai Secretary that a temple for the Jeeyar might be constructed in some *unobjectionable* spot outside the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, there was no reason why the Tengalai's should have built the temple in such great haste and laid themselves open to complaints from their opponents. The Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar was rightly censured by the Deputy Commissioner for his very hasty and unauthorized action. The new temple has been built close to the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple and it is complained that no intervening space has been left to allow of persons to go in Pradakshana around the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple. There is no doubt truth in this complaint and the remedy appears to be that either the new temple should be altogether removed from its present situation and built elsewhere or that alternations should be made therein so as to leave an open space of $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet between the two temples as agreed to by the Tengalais in a Mutchilika given by them to the Deputy Commissioner—Rai Bahadur Mr. Madiah. The removal of the temple which has been actually consecrated and worship therein is conducted—is an extreme Measure, which, in the opinion of Government does not appear called for in the circumstances of this case. The Government therefore direct that the order of the Deputy Commissioner Rao Bahadur Mr. Madiah should be immediately carried out, that is, that the Tengalais should alter the new building so as to have an open space of $1\frac{1}{2}$ feet between the two temples which might be used as a passage between them for making Pradakshina. If it is impossible to carry out this alternation without displacing the idol of the Jeeyar newly Consecrated the situation must be faced and the temple removed elsewhere. This order should be fully carried out within three months from this date and a report submitted on the subject on the expiry of that period. As regards the request of the Tengales that on the day of the Jeeyar's Tirunokshatram, the idol of God Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami should be allowed to be brought to their new temple and worshipped there and that their new Jeeyar idol should be permitted to be taken in procession in the streets in front of and facing the image of the God Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami, the Government observe that this request cannot be granted as the proposed action would be against *mamul*. There are of opinion that all innovations tending to sectarian differences and caste finds must be put down with a firm hands and they are not therefore prepared to show any concession to the Tengales

10

who have sought to establish an innovation against an express order and by irregular means. All innovations regarding the Jeeyar must therefore be prevented and the *mamul* must be strictly adhered to. The old Tasdik allowance of Rs. 1—7—3 per annum for the Jeeyar's Tirunankshatram will be continued as already ordered by Government.

4. Secondly, as regards the Desikar of the Vadagale sect:—

In 1899, a petition was received in this office from Yezurveda Singlachar and others of the Tengale sect stating that there was no Desikar Utsava idol in the Gunja Narasimha Swami Temple and that some of the Vadagalai Brahmins had been contemplating to newly consecrate one of the old Vigrahas in the Temple. This petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner by the late Muzrai Secretary with the observation that, if the allegation contained in the petition were true no consecration of any new idol in the temple should be permitted. Thereupon, the Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar appears to have stopped the Desikar's Utsavams. A counter-petition was received from the Vadagalai Brahmins on the subject and the Deputy Commissioner has submitted the records of his local enquiry. From these records, it is clear that the Vadagales have a stone image of Desikar within the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, and also an Utsava Vigraha and that the God is brought to the Desikar's Temple and then taken out in procession in the streets with the Desikar in front as Yedure Utsavam. All these facts are clearly established by the report submitted by the Assistant Commissioner Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao who was specially deputed by the Deputy Commissioner for the purposes of local enquiry. The Tengles have tried to show that the Utsava Murti of Desikar is a spurious one but the Government find that the existence of the idol has been proved by the old accounts of the temple. In these circumstances, the Government are pleased to direct that all the *mamuls* relating to the Desikar in the matter of the worship and the Yeduru Utsavam in the streets must be restored and allowed to go on. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that these orders are duly carried out.

(Sd.) L. ANANTHASAMI RAO,
Secretary.

"Copy"

(Sd.) ANANTHA RAMIENGAR,
District Sheristadar
Deputy Commissioner Office,
Mysore District.

Copy applied for by Varada Char on	. .	27—3—06	No. 2
Copy ready on ...	...	28—3—06	28—3—06
Copy delivered on ..	...	28—3—06	
Fees paid on ...	...	28—3—06	
Number of words ...	...	1420 & 45	
Copying fee ...	...	1—15—6	
Comparing fee ...	...	0—5—2	
	Total	Rs. 2—4—8	

ಮುಜುತಾಯಿ ನಂಬರ್ 31.

11

ತಲಕಾಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಅಮಿಲಾಲರಿಗೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ.

ನಿನ್ನು ಕಳದ 76 ನೇ ಡಿಶಂಬರ್ 30 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 727 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಮುಲಫೂಸಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ನಾವು ಛತ್ರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಇನ್ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಉದ್ದಿಶ್ಯ ನರಶೀಪುರದ ವೊಕ್ಕಾಮಗೆ.....ತಿಂಗಳು 9 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಆಗಿರುವ ವರ್ಬಲ್‌ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ಯಲ್ಲಿ ನರಶೀಪುರದ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಜಾನರಸಿಪ್ಪಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಬಳಗೆ ಜೀಯರ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಕೂಡದೆಂದು ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಜೀಯರ್ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರಕ್ಕೊಸ್ಕರ ತಸದೀಕು ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆಂತಲೂ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಆಗಿದ್ದ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರ್ಯಾರೋ ದ್ವೇಷದಿಂದ ಕಳದ ಮನ್ಮಥಾ ಯೂ ದುರ್ಮುಖಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲೋ ಕಿತ್ತು ಬಿಸಾಪೆರುತ್ತಾರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತ ಹಾಜರು ಇರುವ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದುನ್ನೂ ಇದೇ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕಳದ 1853 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಯಿಕ್ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ ಎಂಬುವನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಭಯ ಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯವರೊಳಗೆ ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ತಕರಾರ್‌ದಾರುಗಳು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪಟ್ಟು ಆಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ಮಹಜರು ಬರೆಮಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಬಂದು ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನೂ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷ್ಣುದೇವಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಖರ್ಚುಪಟ್ಟಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಗೈರೆ 1829 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ 7 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಾಮಳೆ ಜಾರಿಬಗ್ಯೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಲೇಟ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ನಿರೂಪದ ಬಂದು ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಸಹಾ ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಿರುವದನ್ನು ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ತಮ್ಮ ಗಳ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ನೆರವೇರುವ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಗೈರೆ ಅದೇ ಮತಸ್ಥರಾದ ತೆಂಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ತರು,—

ಈ ಮೇರೆ ಪರಸ್ಪರ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟು ಕೈ ಕೊಟ್ಟದಾರೆ. ನಡವಳಿಕೆಯೊಡನೆ ಶೇರಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮಹಜರಿಗೆ ಸನುನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಕಳದ 1828—29 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮನ್ಮಥ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಆಷಾಢಮಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಯಕ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ ಎಂಬವನು ನೂತನವಾದ ಜೀಯರ್ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಪೂಜೆ ನಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗ್ಯೇನೇ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರು ಅವನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡದೆ ಕಿತ್ತುಹಾಕಿದಾರೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ತಿರಮಕೂಡ್ಲು ವಗೈರೆ ಸ್ಥಳೀಕರಾದ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ತಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ತರು ಆಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರ ಯದುರಿಗಳಾವ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಸಿ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥಾ ಅನುಪಯೋಗವಾದ ಮಹಜರು ಈ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನೂ ಉಂಟುಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿ ತೋರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

1829 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ 7 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ನಿರೂಪದ ನಕಲ್ ಹಾಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಇರುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸದಿಯಾವ ಅಸಲ್ ದೊರತೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಹಾಗೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಈ ನಿರೂಪವು ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾದ ಸಹಾಯವಾಗಿ ಇರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಮೇರೆ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಪುನಃ ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಕಲಹಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರ್ವದಿಂದಲೂ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಾಸ್ಪದವನ್ನೇ ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿ ಬಂದಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹಾಲಿ ಅದನ್ನ ಜಾರಿಬಗ್ಯೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡಲು ಎಮ್ಮೆಮಾತ್ರವೂ ಆಗಲಾರದು.

ಇದು ನಿಶ್ಚಯವಾಗಿದ್ದದ್ದೇ ಆದರೆ ಆನೇ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಲ್ಲಿ ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ದೇ॥ ಮು॥ ಅರ್ಚಕ ರಂಗೈಂಗಾರ್ ವಗೈರೆರು ನಾಯಕ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ ಎಂಬುವನು ಮಾಮೂಲಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿ ಜೀಯರ್ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಉದ್ಯುಕ್ತಪಟ್ಟಿರುವದಾಗಿ ವಗೈರೆ 1830 ನೇ ದುರ್ಮತಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮುಜರ್ತಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಮೂಲಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾದ ಈ ಕೃತ್ಯಸಡಿಸಿದವರಿಗೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಆದೀತೆಂದು ಲೇಟ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಖಾಸ ದಸಖತ್ತಿನಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡೋಕ್ತವಾಗಿ ಉದಹರಿತವಾಗಿದೆ

ಸರಕೆಲರ್ ಯಾನೆ ನಿರೂಪವೂ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವಾಯ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಈ ಆರ್ಡರಿನಿಂದ ನಿವೇಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ಧ್ವನಿಸುತ್ತಿರೆಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಊರ್ಜಿತಪಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಇನುಹೊರತು 1855—56 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದಾಂಶಗಳು ಜಿಜ್ಞಾಸೆ ಬಂದದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ಲೇಟ್ ಕಮಿಷರ್ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ 56 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫಿಬ್ರವರಿ 15 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 7 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂನಿಂದಲೂ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅಂದರೆ 1860 ನೇ ಇಸವಿವಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರುವ ತಗಿನ ಕಮಿಷರ್‌ರವರ ಜೂಲೈ 20 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 25 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನಿಂದಲೂ ಇನೆರಡಕ್ಕೂ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಅಪ್ಪಗ್ರಾಮಡಿವೀಜ್ಞ್ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಸಾವರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ 61 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ 15 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ನಿಂದಲೂ ಸಹಾ ಈ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯು ಬಹುಕಃ ನಿರೋಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆಂದು ಕಂಡೋಕ್ತವಾಗಿ ಎಣಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿಯೇ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಈ ರೆಫರೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಡವಳಿಕೇಲಿ ಸೇರಿ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರಕಡೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗೆ ತರುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತರಫ್ ಬಲಾತ್ಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಸದರಿ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸೋಣ ಸುಲಭೋಪಾಯ ವೆಂದು ಎಣಿಸಿ ಆ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಬಯಾನ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಆದರೆ ಈ ವಿವಾದವು ಪ್ರಾಯಶಃ 50 ವರುಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಪದೇಪದೆ ಜರಗುತ್ತಾ ಲೆ ಇರುವದಾಗಿ ವೆಕ್ತಪಟ್ಟಾಗ್ಯೂ ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಲೇಟ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರೇ ಆಗಲೀ ಯಾ ಅವರ ತರುವಾಯ ಪೂರ್ವದ ಕುಂಭಣೀ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರೇ ಮೊದ ಲಾವ ಯಾವ ಆಫೀಸರೇ ಆಗಲೀ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸೋಣವರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಗುಣವನ್ನು ಏಣಿಸದೆ ವಿರೋ ಧವಾವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನೇ ಪಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ವಿರೋಧ ಕಕ್ಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಈ ವಿವಾದದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಿಸಿ ಪೂರ್ವದ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವ್ಯತಿರಿಕ್ತವಾದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ನಿಮ್ಮ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶಮಾಡಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡೋಣ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾದ ಕಲಹವೇ ಮೊದಲಾದ ಪ್ರಮಾದ ನಡಿಯುವದ ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂದೇಹ ತೋರುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಬಗ್ಯೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವುನ್ನೂ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ವುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರಕ್ಕೊಸ್ಕರ ತಸದೀಕು ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿದ ಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೇನೇ ಬಹುದಸಗಳಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪು ಹೋಗಿರುವ ಹೊಸ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಮಿತ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಈ ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಜೀಯರ್, ಪುಳಲೋಕಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ವಿಷಯವು ಸರ್ವಸಮ್ಮತವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಲೇಟ್ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ 1861 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 30 ನೇ ಮಕ್ರುಂ 45 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಉಭಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರ್ರು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮು ಚ್ಚಳಿಕೆ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟದ್ದೆಯಾದರೆ ಆಗ್ಯೆ ಈ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಲೋಚನೇಲಿ ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದುವ ರಿವಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸೋಣ ಎಷ್ಟುಮಾತ್ರವೂ ಕೂಡದೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ವಿವಾದ್ದಾರಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಈ ಹುಕುಂಮಿನ ಬಂದು ಕಾಫಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮಜಕೂರ್ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲೂ ಇನ್ನೊಂದನ್ನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲೂ ಸಹಾ ರೆಫರೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಾಗಿ ಇಡಿಸಿ ಆ ಮಜಕೂರು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಕೊಡೋದು.

ಮಲಪೂಫಿ ದಾ॥ ವಗೈರೆ ವಾಪಸ್.

ತಾರೀಖು 5 ನೇ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ಸನ್ 1877 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ವುಪಾಧ್ಯ ನರಸುಹ್ಮಾಚಾರ್.

ಕಾಪಿ ಖಾಯಿಷು ಮಾಡಿದ ತಾರೀಖು		0—8—01
ಆರಡರ್ ತಾರೀಖು	...	9—8—01
ತಯಾರಾದ ತಾರೀಖು	...	11-12—01
ಪಾವತಿ ತಾರೀಖು	...	31—1—02
ಮಾತಿನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	...	800
ಮಜೂರಿ ...	...	0—8—0
ಕಂಪೇರಿಂಗ್ ಫೀ	...	0—1—4
ಕಾಗದ ಕಿಮ್ಮತ್ತು	...	0—0—3
		0—9—7

(Sd.) M. Sreenivasa Row.

"Copy" ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಆ॥ ಕೆ॥ ರ್.

By Order

(Sd.) I. Gopala Row,

Muzrai Head Munshi.

Intial
L. G.

D 1328 13
1910.
Secy 354-09
No. 1253

Name of Petitioner, Narasimha Chara

Village, T Narsipur

Taluk,

District, Mysore

Date of Arn, 4 1-1910

Date of Receipt, 6 1 1910

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure. 2

ABSTRACT.

The petitioners are the "Vadagale sect of the Srivaishnavas employed in the 'Sri Ugra Narasimha Swamy' Temple at T Narasipur They represent that they have been suspended by local authorities for not carrying out Govt orders passed in the matter of the dispute between the "Tengales & Vadagales' as regards the Seer's & Desikar's Utsavams at T Narsipur They pray that their suspension order may be cancelled &c

Ans—

the Mysore Superintendent report, with papers if any—

6/11/10

12/11/10

Ans— a reference may be made to the Collector asking what the enquiry is. If Govt not interested the papers may be returned to Govt Secy file No 1328/09 with a note stating that the matter is dropped

13/11/1910

Recd with Govt Order 28.1.10

No 1253

14

By post reg
Stamp rec
Encl 2 to

6/1/1910

page 1.

ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದರು. ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 374 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ 5 ಎಕರೆ 00 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು 1906 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು. ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 374 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ 5 ಎಕರೆ 00 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು 1906 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು.

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 374 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ 5 ಎಕರೆ 00 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು 1906 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು. ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 374 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪೈಕಿ 5 ಎಕರೆ 00 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು 1906 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು.

ಅಂತೆಯೇ ಮುಂದುವರಿದು ಅಂಗಾಂಗಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಕಾಲು ಕೈಗೆ ಕೈ ಎಂಬಂತೆ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಕೈ ಎಂಬುದು ಅಸಂಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಕಂಡರೂ ಅದರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಒಂದು ಸಂಬಂಧವಿದೆ. ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಕೈ ಎಂಬುದು ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಚಕವಾಗಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಾಗ

18

ಎಂಟಾಣಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಫೀಸು ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಇಧೆ.

No

28 of

ಮೈಸೂರು ಡಿ| ಡಿ|| ಕ| ನಾ|| ರವರ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಆ| ನಲ್ಲಿ ತಿರುಮಕೂಡ್ಲು ನರಸೀಪುರದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಂಗಲೆ ಯವರು ಗೈರುಮಾಮೂಲಾಗಿ ಕಲಶಾಸ್ಪದವಾವ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಲಾಗಾಯಿತಿನಿಂದಲೂ ನಡಿಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀದೇಶಿಕರವರ್ಡ ಉತ್ಸವವಗೈರೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ನಿಜಾರವನ್ನಿ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಾವವಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀ ॥ ಶ್ರೀ ॥ ಬ್ರಹ್ಮತಂತ್ರ ಪರಕಾಲ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಅಧ್ಯಾಪಕ ವರದಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಬರದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 26—3—06 ನೇ ಮಕ್ಕಂ 421 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಪಿ ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ಆಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಯಾರ್ಮಾದಲಾಯಿತು.

Proceedings of the Government of

H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai.

READ.—

The petitions from Upadhya Narasimhachar and others of the Vadagale sect and Yezuiveda Singlachar and others of Tengalai sect, of the Sreevaishnava Brahmins at T.-Narsipur, regarding Jeeyar and Desikar's Utsavams at T.-Narsipur

And the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on the subject.

ORDER THEREON No 374?

Dated 5th March 1906, Bangalore

The facts of the case are as described below.

2 First, as regards the jeer of the Tengalai sect. In 1895, Adhyapaka Srinivasa Aiyangar and a few other Tengalai Sreevaishnava Brahmins of T.-Narsipur submitted a petition to Government stating that the Jeeyar idol consecrated in the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami Temple was lost some years ago, and soliciting permission to consecrate a new idol in the temple. On enquiry, it was found that the old Jeeyar Vigraha was lost about 50 years prior to 1877, that the question of consecrating a new Vigraha come up in 1860, 1861 and 1876 before the Chief Commissioner and the District Muzrai Officer respectively and that they all declined to grant the permission asked for, on the ground that the Vadagalai Sree Vaishnavas of the town objected to the consecration of a new Jeeyar idol in the temple Thereupon, the late Muzrai Secretary also declined in 1898 to grant permission for the consecration of a new idol *within* the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, but at the same time observed that if the Tengalai petitioners desired, they might have a new Jeeyar idol consecrated at their own cost in an unobjectionable site *outside* the temple premises. On receipt of this order, the Tengalais constructed in great haste a building for the purpose attaching it to one of the sides of the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple situated outside but near the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple and completed the consecration of the Jeeyar idol therein Before the Pranishta ceremony came off, petitions were received by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore from some of the Vadagalai Sreevaishnava Brahmins complaining that the Amildar Mr Sundararajengar who belonged to the Tengalai sect had sided with the members of this sect and had unauthorizedly granted permission to construct the new building so as to adjoin the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple in an objectionable

manner and praying for prohibitory orders. These petitions were referred by the Deputy Commissioner to the Amildar for report, but the latter took no notice of them and allowed the Tengalai Brahmins to complete the consecration ceremonies which they commenced on the 25th and completed on the 28th November 1898. Upon the submission of the records however, by the Amildar, the temple was personally inspected by the Assistant Commissioners Messrs. M. Nanjaraj Urs, and A. Ananda Rao and by the Deputy Commissioner, Rai Bahadur Mr. C. Madiah, and the last named officer issued an order to the Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar severely censuring him for his hasty and unauthorized action in this matter and directing that the Jeeyar Temple should be separated from the Sri Janardhana Swami Temple by allowing a passage between the two temples so as to allow devotees to go in Pradakshina around the latter. On appeal to Government by the Tengalais, the late Muzrai Secretary passed an order on the 19th March 1901 observing that the question of leaving a passage between the two temples as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner, would be considered later on but directing however, the annual payment to the new Jeeyar Temple of Rs. 1-7-3 being the Tasdik allotment fixed in the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple for the Jeeyar's Tirunakshatram. Against this order of the late Muzrai Secretary the present appeal to Government has been preferred by the Vadagalcs.

3. The Government observe that when due sanction had been given by the late Muzrai Secretary that a temple for the Jeeyar might be constructed in some *unobjectionable* spot outside the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, there was no reason why the Tengalai's should have built the temple in such great haste and laid themselves open to complaints from their opponents. The Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar was rightly censured by the Deputy Commissioner for his very hasty and unauthorized action. The new temple has been built close to the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple and it is complained that no intervening space has been left to allow of persons to go in Pradakshana around the Sri Janardhanaswami Temple. There is no doubt truth in this complaint and the remedy appears to be that either the new temple should be altogether removed from its present situation and built elsewhere or that alternations should be made therein so as to leave an open space of 1½ feet between the two temples as agreed to by the Tengalais in a Mutchilika given by them to the Deputy Commissioner—Rai Bahadur Mr. Madiah. The removal of the temple which has been actually consecrated and worship therein is conducted—is an extreme Measure, which, in the opinion of Government does not appear called for in the circumstances of this case. The Government therefore direct that the order of the Deputy Commissioner Rao Bahadur Mr. Madiah should be immediately carried out, that is, that the Tengalais should alter the new building so as to have an open space of 1½ feet between the two temples which might be used as a passage between them for making Pradakshina. If it is impossible to carry out this alternation without displacing the idol of the Jeeyar newly Consecrated the situation must be faced and the temple removed elsewhere. This order should be fully carried out within three months from this date and a report submitted on the subject on the expiry of that period. As regards the request of the Tengales that on the day of the Jeeyar's Tirunokshatram, the idol of God Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami should be allowed to be brought to their new temple and worshipped there and that their new Jeeyar idol should be permitted to be taken in procession in the streets in front of and facing the image of the God Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami, the Government observe that this request cannot be granted as the proposed action would be against *mamul*. There are of opinion that all innovations tending to sectarian differences and caste ~~finds~~ must be put down with a firm hand and they are not therefore prepared to show any concession to the Tengales

20

who have sought to establish an innovation against an express order and by irregular means. All innovations regarding the Jeeyar must therefore be prevented and the *mamul* must be strictly adhered to. The old Tasdik allowance of Rs. 1—7—3 per annum for the Jeoyar's Tirunakshatram will be continued as already ordered by Government.

4. Secondly, as regards the Desikar of the Vadagale sect :—

In 1899, a petition was received in this office from Yezurveda Singlachar and others of the Tengale sect stating that there was no Desikar Utsava idol in the Gunja Narasimha Swami Temple and that some of the Vadagalai Brahmins had been contemplating to newly consecrate one of the old Vigrahas in the Temple. This petition was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner by the late Muzrai Secretary with the observation that, if the allegation contained in the petition were true no consecration of any new idol in the temple should be permitted. Thereupon, the Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar appears to have stopped the Desikar's Utsavams. A counter-petition was received from the Vadagalai Brahmins on the subject and the Deputy Commissioner has submitted the records of his local enquiry. From these records, it is clear that the Vadagales have a stone image of Desikar within the premises of the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami Temple, and also an Utsava Vigraha and that the God is brought to the Desikar's Temple and then taken out in procession in the streets with the Desikar in front as Yedure Utsavam. All these facts are clearly established by the report submitted by the Assistant Commissioner Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao who was specially deputed by the Deputy Commissioner for the purposes of local enquiry. The Tengles have tried to show that the Utsava Murti of Desikar is a spurious one but the Government find that the existence of the idol has been proved by the old accounts of the temple. In these circumstances, the Government are pleased to direct that all the *mamuls* relating to the Desikar in the matter of the worship and the Yeduru Utsavam in the streets must be restored and allowed to go on. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that these orders are duly carried out.

(Sd.) L. ANANTHASAMI RAO,
Secretary.

"Copy"

(Sd.) ANANTHA RAMIENGAR,
District Sheristadar
Depaty Commissioner Office,
Mysore District.

Copy applied for by Varada Char on	. .	27—3—06	No. 2
Copy ready on ...	...	28—3—06	28—3—06
Copy delivered on ..	...	28—3—06	
Fees paid on ...	...	28—3—06	
Number of words ...	...	1420 & 45	
Copying fee ...	...	1—15—6	
Comparing fee ...	...	0—5—2	
	Total	Rs. 2—4—8	

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ನಂಬರ್ 31.

21

ತಲಕಾಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರಿಗೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಳದ 76 ನೇ ಡಿಸಂಬರ್ 30 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 727 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಮೆಲಪ್ಪೂಪಿ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ನಾವು ಭತ್ರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಇನ್ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಉದ್ದಿಶ್ಯ ನರಶೀಪುರದ ವೊಕ್ಕಾವುಗೆ.....ತಿಂಗಳು 9 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂಸಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಮಕ್ಷ್ಮವು ಆಗಿರುವ ವರ್ಬಾಲ್‌ಯಾರ್ಜ್‌ಕ್ವಯರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನರಶೀಪುರದ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಜಾನರಸಿಸ್ಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಒಳಗೆ ಜೀಯರ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಕೂಡದೆಂದು ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಜೀಯರ್ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರಕ್ಕೊಕ್ಕೀಸ್ಕರ ತಸದೀಕು ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆಂತಲೂ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಆಗಿದ್ದ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರ್ಯಾರೋ ವ್ಯೇಷದಿಂದ ಕಳದ ಮನ್ಮಥ ಯಾ ದುರ್ಮುಖಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲೊ ಕಿತ್ತು ಬಿಸಾಪಿರುತ್ತಾರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತ ಹಾಜರು ಇರುವ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದುನ್ನೂ ಇದೇ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕಳದ 1853 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಯಿಕ್ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ ಎಂಬುವನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಉಭಯ ಗೋಷ್ಠಿಯವರೊಳಗೆ ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ತಕರಾರ್‌ವಾರುಗಳು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪಟ್ಟು ಆಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ಮಹಜರು ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರ ಒಂದು ಕಾಪಿಯನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನೂ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷ್ಣುದೇವಾಲಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಪೂಜೆ ನಡಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗ್ಯೆನೇ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರು ಅವನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡದೆ ಕಿತ್ತುಹಾಕಿದಾರೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ತಿರಮಕೂಡ್ಲು ವೈಷ್ಣವ ಸ್ಥಳೀಕರಾದ ಸ್ಮಾರ್ತಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ತರು ಆಗಬಹುದೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಂಗಲೆಯವರ ಯದುರಿಗೆಯಾದ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಸಿ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂಥಾ ಅನುಪಯೋಗವಾದ ಮಹಜರು ಈ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಸಹಾಯವನ್ನೂ ಉಂಟುಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿ ತೋರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

1829 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ 7 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ನಿರೂಪವ ನಕಲು ಹಾಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾವ ಅಸಲ್ ದೊರತೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಹಾಗೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಈ ನಿರೂಪವು ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾದ ಸಹಾಯವಾಗಿ ಇರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಮೇರೆ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಪುನಃ ಅಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಕಲಪಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರ್ವದಿಂವಲೂ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಾಸ್ಪದವನ್ನೆಂ ಅವಲಂಬಿಸಿ ಬಂದಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹಾಲಿ ಅದನ್ನೆ ಜಾರಿಬಗ್ಯೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡಲು ಎಪ್ಪುಮಾತ್ರವೂ ಆಗಲಾರದು.

ಇದು ನಿಶ್ಚಯವಾಗಿದ್ದದ್ದೇ ಆದರೆ ಅನೇ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಲ್ಲಿ ವಡಗಲೆ ಸಂಪ್ರದಾಯಸ್ಥರಾದ ದೇವ ಮು॥ ಆರ್ಜಕ ರಂಗೈಂಗಾರ್ ವಗೈರೆರು ನಾಯಿಕ ರಾಮಸ್ವಾಮೈಯ್ಯಂಗಾರಿ ಎಂಬುವನು ಮಾಮೂಲಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾಗಿ ಜೀಯರ್ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಉದ್ಯುಕ್ತಪಟ್ಟಿರುವದಾಗಿ ವಗೈರೆ 1830 ನೇ ದುರ್ಮತಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರದಲ್ಲಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಮೂಲಿಗೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾದ ಈ ಕೃತ್ಯನಡಿಸಿದವರಿಗೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಬಂದೋಬಸ್ತು ಆದೀತೆಂದು ಲೇಟ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಖಾಸ ದಸಖತ್ತಿನಿಂದ ಅಜೀದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಬ್ಲೀಕ್ಟಾಗಿ ಉದಹರಿತವಾಗಿದೆ

ಸರಕೆಲರ್ ಥಾನೆ ನಿರೂಪವೂ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವಾಯ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಈ ಅರ್ಡರಿನಿಂದ ನಿವೇಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಹಾಗೆ ಧ್ವನಿಸುವ ತ್ತಿಧೆಯೇ ಹೊರ್ತು ಊರ್ಜಿತಪಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುವದಿಲ್ಲ

ಇದುಹೊರ್ತು 1855—56 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದಾಂಶಗಳು ಜಿಜ್ಞಾಸೆ ಬಂದದ್ದರಮೇಲೆ ಲೇಟ್ ಕಮಿಷ್ನರ್ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ 56 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫಿಬ್ರವರಿ 15 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 7 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂನಿಂದಲೂ ಈಚೆಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದಾಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅಂದರೆ 1860 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಹುಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಆಗಿನ ಕಮಿಷ್ನರವರ ಜೂಲೈ 20 ನೇ ಮುಕ್ಕಂ 25 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಡರ್‌ನಿಂದಲೂ ಇನ್ನೆರಡಕ್ಕೂ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ಅಪ್ಪಗ್ರಾಮದವಿಜ್ಞ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಸಾವರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ 61 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ 45 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂನಿಂದಲೂ ಸಹಾ ಈ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯು ಬಹುಕಃ ನಿರೋಧಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆಂದು ಕಂಬ್ಲೀಕ್ಟಾಗಿ ಎಣಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾಗಿಯೇ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಈ ರೆಫರೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಡವಳಿಕೇಲಿ ಸೇರಿ ವಡಗಲೆಯವರಕಡೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವನ್ನು ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗೆ ತರುತ್ತಾ "ಇರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಇವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತರಫ್ ಬಲಾತ್ಕಾರವಾಗಿ ಸದರಿ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸೋಣ ಸುಲಭೋಪಾಯ ವೆಂದು ಎಣಿಸಿ ಆ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಬರ್ಯಾವಾಡಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಆದರೆ ಈ ವಿವಾದವು ಪ್ರಾಯಶಃ 50 ವರುಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ಪದೇಪದೆ ಜರಗುತ್ತಾ ಲೆ ಇರುವದಾಗಿ ವೆಕ್ತಪಟ್ಟಾಗ್ಯೆ ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಲೇಟ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರೇ ಆಗಲೀ ಯಾ ಅವರ ತರುವಾಯ ಪೂರ್ವದ ~~ಕೂಷಣೀ~~ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರೇ ಮೊದಲಾವ ಯಾವ ಆಫೀಸರೇ ಆಗಲೀ ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರ ವಿವಾದವನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸೋಣವರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಗುಣವನ್ನು ಏಣಿಸದೆ ವಿರೋಧವಾವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನೇ ಪಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿರುವದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅತ್ಯಂತ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ವಿರೋಧ ಕಕ್ಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಈ ವಿವಾದವಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವರ್ತಿಸಿ ಪೂರ್ವದ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವ್ಯತಿರಿಕ್ತವಾದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ನಿಮ್ಮ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶವಾಡಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡೋಣ ಹೇಚ್ಚಾದ ಕಲಹವೇ ಮೊದಲಾವ ಪ್ರಮಾವ ನಡಿಯುವದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂದೇಹ ತೋರುವದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಬಗ್ಯೆ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾವುನ್ನೂ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ತಿರುಸಕ್ಷತ್ರಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ತಸದೀಕು ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟರುತ್ತೆಂಬದಾಗಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿದ ಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೇನೇ ಬಹುದಿನಗಳಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿ ಹೋಗಿರುವ ಹೊಸ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಮಿತ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಈ ಮುಂತಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಜೀಯರ್, ಪುಳಲೋಕಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ವಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ವಿಷಯವು ಸರ್ವಸಮ್ಮತವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಲೇಟ್ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಾಹೆಬರವರಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟರುವ 1861 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 30 ನೇ ಮಕ್ರುಂ 45 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಉಭಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರು ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪಟ್ಟು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಚ್ಚಳಿಕೆ ಬರದುಕೊಟ್ಟುದ್ದೆಯಾವರೆ ಆಗ್ಯೆ ಈ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಆಲೋಚನೇಲಿ ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದುವರಿವಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಗ್ರಹವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆ ಮಾಡಿಸೋಣ ಎಪ್ಪುಮಾತ್ರವೂ ಕೂಡ್ಪದೆಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ವಿವಾದ್ದಾರಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಈ ಹುಕುಂಮಿನ ಬಂದು ಕಾಫಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮಜಕೂರ್ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲೂ ಇನ್ನೊಂದನ್ನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕ್ ರಿಕಾರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲೂ ಸಹಾ ರೆಫರೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಾಗಿ ಇಡಿಸಿ ಆ ಮಜಕೂರು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟು ಕೊಡೋದು.

ಮಲಪೂಫಿ ದಾ|| ವಗೈರೆ ಹಾಪಸ್.

ತಾರೀಖು 5 ನೇ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ಸನ್ 1877 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ವುಪಾಧ್ಯ ನರಸುಹ್ಮಾಚಾರ್.

ಕಾಪಿ ಖಾಯಿಷು ಮಾಡಿದ ತಾರೀಖು		0—8—01
ಅರಡರ್ ತಾರೀಖು	...	9—8—01
ತಯಾರಾದ ತಾರೀಖು	...	11-12—01
ಪಾವತಿ ತಾರೀಖು	...	31—1—02
ಮಾತಿನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	...	800
ಮಜೂರಿ ...	...	0—8—0
ಕಂಪೇರಿಂಗ್ ಫೀ	...	0—1—4
ಕಾಗದ ಕಿಮ್ಮತ್ತು	...	0—0—3
		0—9—7

(Sd.) M. Sreenivasa Row.

"Copy" ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅ|| ಕ|| ರ್.

By Order

(Sd.) I. Gopala Row,

Muzrai Head Munshi.

Intial
L. G

G.R.S. 26]

Dft as per orders File No.

Serial No. 23

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 2196 enc 1716

Mrs-7. 352-09-4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To

The Dy Commr,
Mysore Dist

Date of issue 2nd March 1910

Signed by Mr. A. S. Iyengar

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 275 Dj 23rd Decr 09 requesting that explicit orders may be passed as to what manual mariyades may be shown to the Jeuyer, I am directed to observe that when passing the order of 10th November 1908 Govt had no question of mariyada in view and that as the matter has now cropped up it is for you to hold such further enquiry as you think necessary and after consulting the parties concerned make a recommendation to

over.

SUBJECT

Govt about the Marigadés
that may be shown to
the Jeyer. I am also to
add that it might be
useful if, as prayed for
in the petition of the Vadagalé,
of Sosale and other villages of the T. Narsipur Taluk
in the Vernacular file) paper no 7:
the practice prevailing
at Melkote can be
satisfactorily ascertained.
2) The Vernacular records
are herewith returned for ref
and re-submn

I have the

87
23/2/1910

Dy Secretary

Dy 23/2/10

List of enclosures,

28/2/10

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

1911 - 1912.

190

From

M. Kantaraj Urs ..... Esq., B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

C. S. Balaoundram Iyer ..... Esq., B.A.
Secy. to Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 28.9.11

Despatched 2.10.11

Received

General No. 136

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

DIARY No. 514
1911-1-12
No. 354-69
No. 5

Submits report re marriageable to be given to Govt. Manager

Regd. the file from Vernacular records returned here. Immediate attention is necessary as this Inspector wants leave for 15 days

3/ 4/1/12

No. 136
Enc. No. File
25

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr.
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp. 28th September 1911. 2.10 ....1911.

FROM
Mr. Kantaraj Urs .....Esq., B.A.
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, MYSORE DISTRICT.

To
C. S. Balasundram Iyer .....Esq., B.A.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inam-rai Branch

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 2196 Inam 354-09-4 dated 2nd March 1910 calling for the submission of a further report regarding the marriyadas to the shrine to the deity at T. Narasipur, I have the honor to submit herewith the records of enquiry together with a memo dated 30.1.1911 prepared by Mr. Ramanathan, Assistant Commissioner, to whom the case was referred for enquiry.

2. Mr. Ramanathan has submitted an elaborate report and I fully concur with his views viz: that the deity shrine at T. Narasipur cannot be considered as subsidiary to the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swamy Temple at this and is therefore not entitled to any marriyadas from

from the Sri Varadaraja Swamy
temple beyond getting Rs 1-7-3
as Jaadike.

3. The practice prevailing at
Melkote is referred to in Sri
Ramanathan's memo and for
reasons stated by him, it cannot
not be applied to the Sri Ganga
Varahaswamy temple which
has only Vadagale Namams
and Tilakas and no Thengale
Namams.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

G.R.S. 26] 589/24/5/13/15

27 File No. 357-0
Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 2926 Emp file

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy Commissioner
Chepauk Dist

Date of issue 29. 5. 1912

Signed by Mr B Ramachandra Aiyar, Sir,

With reference
to your letter no. 136
of the 28th Septr 1911, subg
a report regarding the
'maryadas' to be
shown to the Jeer
at Tiruvisipur, I
am directed to

over.

SUBJECT.

28

enquire whether it would be possible to induce the vadagalas to agree to the proposed 'maryadas' being shown to the Jeen. ~~by punchayet~~ The advice of responsible local officers and of a respectable panchayet may be successful in ~~effecting~~ securing their agreement. ~~for the decision of the Ratchagat.~~

I have &c

16.5.12

For Agent Galle 18/5/12

Index (79) RL 16/5/12

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

[PB—20,000—25-9-10

191

From The Deputy Commissioner Nagpore. Distt

To The Secretary to Govt. Chanda Branch

Dated 11-4-13.

Despatched 16-4-13.

Received

General No. 464

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Solicits return of record re Agee, Murlidhar & ors of Narsipur.

ENTRY No. 1756

12/13

Chanda

359-09

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bijapur District.
Dated, Bijapur 11/16th April 1913

From

A. Subramanya Iyer Esqr; B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner
Bijapur District.

To

K. R. Srinivasachar Esqr; M.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of Bijapur
Genl. & Rev: Depts:
(Sanjvai Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2926 / Genl. 354-09-6 dated 29-5-1912 requesting to enquire whether it would be possible to induce the Vadagales to agree to the proposed Sanjivadas being shown to the Queen etc, I have the honor to state that the file of Vernacular ending 7. Narsipur

this report no. 62 dated

19th

19-11-1909 Sent to yr office

with this office

letter No. 136 dated 28th September

1911 was not received in this

office with your letter quoted

above and to request therefore

that you will be so good as

to return the same for reference

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Dep. Ty Commissioner

Rangoon Division.

G.R.S. 29A]

19 31

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy. Comr.,
Mysore Dist.

Dated

Despatched 23rd May 1913

Received

General No. 2212
Jms No. 354-09-8

Enclosure No. 1 Bundle
Containing 8 files -

As requested in his letter No. 464 Dy 11th April 1913, has the honor to return herewith the Vern. records relating to your marriage at T. Narasipur.

By order,
W/5/13
for Sy. Secretary.

DBR

COMPARED 1/5/13

GPB—20,000—24-10-10.

DIARY No. 744

1913-1914
SECTION Inwards
FILE No. 354-09
SERIAL No. 9

1913-1914

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore district

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl & Rev Depts Mily Branch

Dated
Despatched 8 October 1913
Received

General No. 155
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

In submitting report on the question of Showing — Marriages to the Teer at Narsipur.

Received in camp.
on 16.10.13.
BH

155

1 file 32

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, 8th October 1913.

From

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

To

K.R.Srinivasiengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments

(Muzrai Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2926/Muz 354-09-6 dated 29th May 1912 enquiring whether it would be possible to induce the vadagalcs to agree to the proposed "Mariyadeas" being shown to the Jeer etc., I have the honor to state that the Amildar of T.Narsipur Taluk reports in his No. 17 dated 7-11-1912 that he convened a meeting consisting of the principal men of the two rival sects and also some leading men among smartnas and Madhavas, that the Vadagalas headed by Upadhya Narasimhachar consented to show the proposed Mariyadeas to the Jeer, that they would also attend the Jeer temple and do their respective services on the Tirunakshatram day provided that no Naivaidya is taken every day to the Jeer temple from the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple and (2) that Jeer Tagala Sanam should not be recited at the Sannidhi of Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami, that the Tengalas would not accept those two unreasonable conditions and that it is not likely that any settlement agreeable to both will be arrived at in the near future.

On perusing the records to see what Mamool Mariyadas were being shown at the Sri Ramanujacharyas Sannidhi on Tirunakshatram days owing to the temporary absence Jeer Vigraha I find that Mr.M.Rama Rao Sub Division enen Rocks, when he was Amildar at T.Narsipur a Memo in Kanarese (flagged A)

after carefully going through the available records in the temple and hearing both parities, wherein the Mamul Mariyadas are clearly mentioned.

Under the circumstances I am of opinion that a definite set of instructions regarding these Mariyadas may be framed in the light of the Memo drawn up by Mr.N.Rama Rao and the long pending controver-sy about Mariyadas to Jeer brought to a close.

The Secretariat notesmay contain my views in the matter expressed as Muzrai Superintendent and under Secretary

The entire file of papers on the subject is herewith submitted

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

G.R.S. 28]

34

File No 354-09

Serial No. 10.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

READ—

Government Proceedings No.3742/ M.F.52-95 dated 5th March 1906, passing orders on the dispute between Tengale and Vadagale Sri Vaishnavas at T.Narasipur ~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~ regarding the Jeeyar and Desikar Utsavams.

2. Government Proceedings No.186-7/M.F.112-08-2 dated 10th November 1908, passing orders in the matter of taking in

Date of issue 3. 3. 1915

Signed by

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

procession in the public streets of T.Narasi-

pur, the Jeer Vigraha on Tirunakshatra and ~~8~~

Sevartha days.

3. Petitions from Adhyapaka N. R. Srinivasa Iyengar and others on behalf of Tengales and Upadhya Narasimha Char and others on behalf of Vadagale residents of T. Narasipur.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No.155 dated 8th October 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, requesting definite instructions regarding the honors which are to be shown to the Jeer Vigraha at T. Narasipur.

Order thereon: No. 1915 Enc file D 3·3·915

Dms 354-09-10

In the second of the ~~Government~~ orders read above, the Government were pleased to grant the request of the Tengales to

Jeer Vigraha in procession in the

ts of T.Narasipur according to

d sevartha days.

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

86

Shrine from Gunja Narasimha Swami's temple on these occasions have now been raised and the Deputy Commissioner requests definite orders on the subject.

2. The Jeer temple being a new one unconnected with Sri Gunja Narasimha Swamy's temple, the former cannot, strictly speaking, lay claim to the parade of any honours from the latter temple. In view, however, of the fact that the Jeer is a Bhakta Vigraha and a Shrine dedicated to him usually forms part of a Vishnu temple, the Government are pleased to order that Chatri, Chamara, torches and other usual paraphernalia with the exception of Sri Shatari, should be sent as a matter of courtesy from the temple of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswamy to the Jeer's temple on these processional occasions.

15/8/14

For Offg. Secretary to Govt.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District returning records.

Endorsement to Parties.

GPB—12,000—15-2-13

37

Honors to Jeer Vigraha.

No. 1915—Muz. 351-09-10, dated 3rd March 1915.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Government Proceedings No 3742—M. F. 52-95, dated 5th March 1906, passing orders on the dispute between Tengale and Vadagale Sri Vaishnavas at T.-Narsipur, regarding the Jeeyar and Desikar Utsavams.

2. Government Proceedings No. 186-7—M F 112-08-2, dated 10th November 1908, passing orders in the matter of taking in procession in the public streets of T.-Narsipur, the Jeer Vigraha on Tirunakshatra and Sevartha days.

3. Petitions from Adhyapaka N. R Srinivasa Iyengar and others, on behalf of Tengale and Upadhya Narasimha Char and others on behalf of Vadagale residents of T.-Narsipur.

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. 155, dated 8th October 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, requesting definite instructions regarding the honors which are to be shown to the Jeer Vigraha at T.-Narsipur.

Order No. 1915—Muz. 354-09-10, dated 3rd March 1915.

In the second of the orders read above, the Government were pleased to grant the request of the Tengales to carry the Jeer Vigraha in procession in the public streets of T.-Narsipur according to manul on Tirunakshatra and Sevartha days. The question of the honors that are to be sent to the Jeer Shrine from Gunja Narasimhaswami's temple on these occasions have now been raised, and the Deputy Commissioner requests definite orders on the subject.

2. The Jeer temple being a new one unconnected with Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami's temple, the former cannot, strictly speaking, lay claim to the parade of any honors from the latter temple. In view, however, of the fact that the Jeer is a Bhakta Vigraha and a shrine dedicated to him usually forms part of a Vishnu temple, the Government are pleased to order that chatri, chamara, torches and other usual paraphernalia with the exception of Sri Shatari, should be sent as a matter of courtesy from the temple of Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami to the Jeer's temple on these processional occasions.

for Offg. Secy. to. Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning records.

Exd—K N.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 1915—Muz. 354-09-10, dated 3rd March 1915

Honors to Jeer Vigraha.

Grants certain honors from the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at T.-Narsipur to the new Jeer Vigraha installed at the place.

GPB—15-3-15 -250

38

మచిలీపట్నం సబ్ రిజిస్ట్రార్ గారికి

No. 2064-వ తేది 23.3.1915 లో వ్రాసిన వ్రాతకు సంబంధించిన వివరణలు

Mus 354-09-11

వివరణ

ఈ క్రింది వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాము

1. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

2. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

3. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

4. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

5. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

6. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

7. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

8. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

9. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

10. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

11. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

12. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

13. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

14. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

15. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

16. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

17. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

18. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

19. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

20. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

21. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

22. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

23. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

24. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

25. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

26. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

27. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

28. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

29. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

30. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

31. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

32. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

33. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

34. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

35. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

36. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

37. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

38. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

39. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

40. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

41. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

42. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

43. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

44. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

45. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

46. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

47. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

48. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

49. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

50. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

51. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

52. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

53. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

54. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

55. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

56. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

57. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

58. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

59. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

60. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

61. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

62. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

63. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

64. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

65. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

66. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

67. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

68. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

69. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

70. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

71. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

72. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

73. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

74. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

75. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

76. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

77. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

78. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

79. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

80. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

81. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

82. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

83. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

84. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

85. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

86. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

87. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

88. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

89. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

90. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

91. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

92. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

93. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

94. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

95. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

96. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

97. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

98. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

99. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.

100. ఈ ఆస్తి ఎవరి ఆధీనంలో ఉన్నది? ఆ వివరాలు తెలియజేయవలెను.